

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,395	12/05/2005	Ralf Plaumann	01012-1032	5594	
30671 DITTHA VON	7590 10/17/200 IG MORI & STEINER,	EXAMINER			
918 Prince St.			KERVERO	KERVEROS, JAMES C	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2117		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/559,395		PLAUMANN ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JAMES C. KERVEROS	2117					

	JAMES C. KERVEROS	2117						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or EA 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMELYUMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c 			ne issues for					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			· ·					
7. \(\bar{\text{\text{\$N\$}}} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \(\bar{\text{\$I\$}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has bee		•						
allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. □ Other:								
	/JAMES C KERVEROS	1						

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2117

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/2008, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-14, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, as set forth in the Final Office Action, dated 8/11/2008.

For purpose of appeal, the amendment after final rejection filed on 10/7/2008 has been entered.

Date: 14 October 2008 Office Action: Advisory

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22314. Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 273-3824 Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov